

A proof system for the modal μ -calculus inspired by the determinisation of automata

Johannes Kloibhofer
(j.w.w. Maurice Dekker, Johannes Marti, Yde Venema)

Institute for Logic, Language and Computation
University of Amsterdam, Netherlands

November 11, 2022

Outline

- Present different proof systems for the modal μ -calculus
- Show connections to automata theory
- Explain benefits of our system

The *formulas* in the modal μ -calculus are generated by the grammar

$$\varphi ::= p \mid \bar{p} \mid \perp \mid \top \mid (\varphi \vee \varphi) \mid (\varphi \wedge \varphi) \mid \diamond\varphi \mid \square\varphi \mid \mu x \varphi \mid \nu x \varphi$$

- p and x are taken from a fixed set of propositional variables,
- Formulas of the form $\mu x \varphi$ and $\nu x \varphi$ are called *fixpoint formulas* and interpreted as the least and greatest fixpoint of φ ,
- In $\mu x \varphi$ and $\nu x \varphi$ there are no occurrences of \bar{x} in φ .

Proof systems for the modal μ -calculus

- [Kozen '83] introduced finitary proof system with explicit induction rules
- Completeness proven by [Walukiewicz '00]
- [Niwiński, Walukiewicz '96] introduced infinitary tableaux games in which one player has winning strategy iff formula is valid

NW proofs

An NW *pre-proof* is a, possibly infinite, tree defined from the following rules:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{Ax1: } \frac{}{p, \bar{p}, \Gamma} & \text{Ax2: } \frac{}{\top, \Gamma} & \text{R}_\vee: \frac{\varphi, \psi, \Gamma}{\varphi \vee \psi, \Gamma} & \text{R}_\wedge: \frac{\varphi, \Gamma \quad \psi, \Gamma}{\varphi \wedge \psi, \Gamma} \\ \text{R}_\square: \frac{\varphi, \Gamma}{\square\varphi, \diamond\Gamma, \Delta} & \text{R}_\mu: \frac{\varphi[\mu x.\varphi/x], \Gamma}{\mu x.\varphi, \Gamma} & \text{R}_\nu: \frac{\varphi[\nu x.\varphi/x], \Gamma}{\nu x.\varphi, \Gamma} & \end{array}$$

NW proofs

An NW *pre-proof* is a, possibly infinite, tree defined from the following rules:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Ax1: } \frac{}{p, \bar{p}, \Gamma} \quad \text{Ax2: } \frac{}{\top, \Gamma} \quad \text{R}_\vee: \frac{\varphi, \psi, \Gamma}{\varphi \vee \psi, \Gamma} \quad \text{R}_\wedge: \frac{\varphi, \Gamma \quad \psi, \Gamma}{\varphi \wedge \psi, \Gamma} \\ \text{R}_\square: \frac{\varphi, \Gamma}{\square\varphi, \diamond\Gamma, \Delta} \quad \text{R}_\mu: \frac{\varphi[\mu x.\varphi/x], \Gamma}{\mu x.\varphi, \Gamma} \quad \text{R}_\nu: \frac{\varphi[\nu x.\varphi/x], \Gamma}{\nu x.\varphi, \Gamma} \end{array}$$

- A *trace* $(\varphi_j)_{j \in \omega}$ on an infinite branch is an infinite sequence of formulas such that φ_{j+1} is an ancestor of φ_j for $j \in \omega$.
- A trace is called ν -*trace* if the most important fixpoint formula unfolded infinitely often is a ν -formula.

Definition

An NW proof is an NW pre-proof, where on every infinite branch there is a ν -trace.

Automata

- The trace condition can be checked by a nondeterministic ω -automaton
- Idea: build automaton into system
 - Sequents of form $a \vdash \Gamma$, where a state of automaton
- Need automaton to be deterministic
- [Jungteerapanich '10] and [Stirling '14] introduced annotated proof system inspired by the Safra construction
 - Sequents have form $\theta \vdash \varphi_1^{\rho_1}, \dots, \varphi_n^{\rho_n}$
- This system has been reduced to Kozen's axiomatization by [Afshari, Leigh '17]

Automata

- The trace condition can be checked by a nondeterministic ω -automaton
- Idea: build automaton into system
 - Sequents of form $a \vdash \Gamma$, where a state of automaton
- Need automaton to be deterministic
- [Jungteerapanich '10] and [Stirling '14] introduced annotated proof system inspired by the Safra construction
 - Sequents have form $\theta \vdash \varphi_1^{\rho_1}, \dots, \varphi_n^{\rho_n}$
- This system has been reduced to Kozen's axiomatization by [Afshari, Leigh '17]

- We develop determinisation method for nondeterministic automata using binary trees
- Using this method we get a different annotated proof system
 - Sequents have form $\vdash \varphi_1^{\sigma_1}, \dots, \varphi_n^{\sigma_n}$
 - No extra information needed!

BT proof rules

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{Ax1: } \frac{}{p^\sigma, \bar{p}^\tau, \Gamma} \quad \text{Ax2: } \frac{}{\top^\sigma, \Gamma} \quad \text{R}_\vee: \frac{\varphi^\sigma, \psi^\sigma, \Gamma}{(\varphi \vee \psi)^\sigma, \Gamma} \quad \text{R}_\wedge: \frac{\varphi^\sigma, \Gamma \quad \psi^\sigma, \Gamma}{(\varphi \wedge \psi)^\sigma, \Gamma} \\
 \\
 \text{R}_\square: \frac{\varphi^\sigma, \Gamma}{\square\varphi^\sigma, \diamond\Gamma, \Delta} \quad \text{R}_\nu: \frac{\varphi[x \setminus \nu x.\varphi]^\sigma |^{k \cdot 1_k}, \Gamma^{0_k}}{\nu x.\varphi^\sigma, \Gamma} \quad \text{where } k = \Omega_\Phi(\nu x.\varphi) \\
 \\
 \text{R}_\mu: \frac{\varphi[x \setminus \mu x.\varphi]^\sigma |^{\Omega_\Phi(\mu x.\varphi)}, \Gamma}{\mu x.\varphi^\sigma, \Gamma} \quad \text{Resolve: } \frac{\varphi^\sigma, \Gamma}{\varphi^\sigma, \varphi^\tau, \Gamma} \quad \text{where } \sigma > \tau \\
 \\
 \text{Compress}_k^{s0}: \frac{\varphi_1^{(\dots, st_1, \dots)}, \dots, \varphi_n^{(\dots, st_n, \dots)}, \Gamma}{\varphi_1^{(\dots, s0t_1, \dots)}, \dots, \varphi_n^{(\dots, s0t_n, \dots)}, \Gamma} \quad \text{where } s \notin \Gamma_k^A \\
 \\
 \text{Compress}_k^{s1}: \frac{\varphi_1^{(\dots, st_1, \dots)}, \dots, \varphi_n^{(\dots, st_n, \dots)}, \Gamma}{\varphi_1^{(\dots, s1t_1, \dots)}, \dots, \varphi_n^{(\dots, s1t_n, \dots)}, \Gamma} \quad \text{where } s \notin \Gamma_k^A \text{ and } s \neq 0 \dots 0
 \end{array}$$

Definition

A BT^∞ proof is a BT pre-proof, where on every infinite branch there is a successful string.

Definition

A BT proof is the cyclic version of a BT^∞ proof, where for every strongly connected subgraph there is a successful string.

- BT^∞ and BT are sound and complete proof systems for the μ -calculus
- BT proofs can be translated to Clo proofs introduced by Afshari and Leigh
- This yields completeness proof of Kozen's axiomatization

Future work

- Check complexity of translations
- Compare to Jungteerapanich proof system
- Generalize method, to make it applicable for other cyclic proof systems:
 - Cyclic Arithmetic
 - CLKID^ω for first-order logic with inductive definitions
 - ...

Thank you !